UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Felipe Campos-Gonzalez	_ Case No. 1:13-cr-00138-RJJ
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail I that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I –	Findings of Fact
	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	*
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable si	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 tate or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but	t involves:
a minor victim the possession or use of a firea a failure to register under 18 U.	rm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committe or local offense.	d while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since th offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre-	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another dant has not rebutted that presumption.
Alterna	ative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	lant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions e and the safety of the community.
	ative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	
Part II – Statement	of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted a evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to a preponderance of the evidence that:	
	on to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 31, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	_